# Cutkosky Cutting Rules in the Deformed Context<sup>1</sup>

Andrea Bevilacqua 5/12/2024

<sup>1</sup> A. B., Cutkosky rules and 1-loop κ-deformed amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D Vol. 110 No 10 (2024) 106003

• We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.



- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?

▶ Build a possible model of QG directly.

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?

- ▶ Build a possible model of QG directly.
- ► Find a physical regime where one can build a potential effective theory of quantum gravity (whatever the ultimate details of the final theory), and study that one!

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?

- ▶ Build a possible model of QG directly.
- ▶ Find a physical regime where one can build a potential effective theory of quantum gravity (whatever the ultimate details of the final theory), and study that one!
- A popular effective approach is based on non-commutative spacetimes, where particle motion is effectively deformed (with deformation parameter 1/κ).





 $sum((p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)) = (p_1 + q_1, p_2 + q_2)$ 

 $\operatorname{sum}((p_1^{\kappa},p_2^{\kappa}),(q_1^{\kappa},q_2^{\kappa}))=(p_1^{\kappa}\oplus q_1^{\kappa},p_2^{\kappa}\oplus q_2^{\kappa})$ 

negative $((p_1, p_2)) = (-p_1, -p_2)$ 

 $\operatorname{negative}((p_1^{\kappa},p_2^{\kappa})) = (S(p_1^{\kappa}),S(p_2^{\kappa}))$ 



Non-trivial composition of momenta  $\implies$  non-trivial rules for conservation of momenta

 $\delta(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)$ 

 $\delta(p_1 \oplus p_2 \oplus p_3)$  $\delta(p_2 \oplus p_1 \oplus p_3)$ 

Even free particles are non-trivial

p = -(-p) p = S(S(p))  $p \neq -S(p)$ 

Greenberg's theorem relates CPT invariance with Lorentz invariance of a theory. According to the theorem,

#### Lorentz invariance $\Leftrightarrow CPT$ invariance

In the deformed context, in general one can have a CPT and (deformed) Lorentz invariant action, and yet particles and antiparticles can have different decay times.

Greenberg's theorem does not work:  $p \neq -S(p)$ .



Consider now an unstable particle in the non-deformed context. What is the particle life time?



Because of CPT, particles and antiparticles have the same lifetime.

Consider now an unstable particle in the deformed context. What is the particle life time?



Particles and antiparticles do not behave necessarily in the same way!

S S S S Despite the differences, it turns out that the same tool can extract  $\Im(\Pi)$  both in the (general) deformed and the non-deformed case: Cutkosky cutting rules.





► Theory: In the non-deformed SM, Cutkosky rules are related to the unitarity of the scattering matrix S. In the deformed case, establishing the Cutkosky rules for general amplitudes may have very important consequences! 1 deformed loop √ ► Theory: In the non-deformed SM, Cutkosky rules are related to the unitarity of the scattering matrix S. In the deformed case, establishing the Cutkosky rules for general amplitudes may have very important consequences! 1 deformed loop √

Phenomenology: We can measure particle/antiparticle decays. Thanks to the validity of the Cutkosky cutting rules, we can exactly and straightforwardly compute the decay width for a wide range of deformed models, and test their predictions against data.



### **Prospects:**

## ▶ Often general amplitudes are not well defined.

 $\delta(k\oplus p_1\oplus p_2), \quad \delta(p_1\oplus p_2\oplus k), \quad \delta(p_1\oplus k\oplus p_2) \odot$ 

 $|p_1\rangle|p_2\rangle\neq|p_2\rangle|p_1\rangle$ 

Many interesting challenges ahead!



#### **Prospects:**

▶ Often general amplitudes are not well defined.

 $\delta(k\oplus p_1\oplus p_2), \quad \delta(p_1\oplus p_2\oplus k), \quad \delta(p_1\oplus k\oplus p_2) \odot$ 

 $|p_1\rangle|p_2\rangle \neq |p_2\rangle|p_1\rangle$ 

Many interesting challenges ahead!

Find out which deformed models may be excluded by current data, or which are more strictly constrained, and understand why.

# Thank you

